IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHRISTINE MARIE BROOKS.

No. 3:17cv1467

Plaintiff

Defendant

(Judge Munley)

(Magistrate Judge Arbuckle)

NANCY A. BERRYHILL. Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

SCRANTON

APR 1 7 2019

PER

ORDER

AND NOW, to wit, this day of April 2019, we have before us for disposition Magistrate Judge William I. Arbuckle's report and recommendation, which proposes that the plaintiff's request for a new administrative decision be granted and the ALJ's decision denying the plaintiff's application for benefits under the Social Security Act be vacated.

No objections to the report and recommendation have been filed, and the time for such filing has passed. Therefore, in deciding whether to adopt the report and recommendation, we must determine if a review of the record evidences plain error or manifest injustice. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b) 1983 Advisory Committee Notes ("When no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record to accept the

recommendation"); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Sullivan v. Cuyler, 723 F.2d 1077, 1085 (3d Cir. 1983).

After a careful review, we find neither a clear error on the face of the record nor a manifest injustice. Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

- 1) The magistrate judge's report and recommendation (Doc. 14) is **ADOPTED**;
- 2) The ALJ's decision denying the plaintiff's application for benefits under the Social Security Act is **VACATED**;
- 3) The Clerk of Court is directed to remand this case to the Commissioner to conduct a new administrative hearing;
- 4) The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in favor of the plaintiff; and
- 5) The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

BY THE &OURT:

JUDGE JAMES M. MUNLEY United States District Court